

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/666,920	Applicant(s) HAKKINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert C. Scheibel	Art Unit 2666	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) James Retter.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2004
Time: 9:00 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested authorization to make two changes to claim 1 for clarity. Mr. Retter agreed to the changes which are detailed in the attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12-13-04
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)